REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Claims 8-15 are now in the application. Claim 8 has been amended. Claims 1-7 had

been canceled previously.

Support for the limitation in claim 8 concerning the metallic and ceramic materials

may be found on page 6, lines 1-21, of the specification and in the original claim 6

(current claim 14). Support for the limitation concerning the final sintering step in

claim 8 is found throughout the description, including at the bottom of page 8 of the

translated specification.

We now turn to the art rejection, in which claims 8-12 have been rejected as being

obvious over Yoshida et al. (US 6.660.420 B1, hereinafter "Yoshida") in view of Koga

(US 6,517,338 B1) under 35 U.S.C. § 103. We respectfully traverse.

Yoshida describes a separator for a fuel cell. The separator is produced from

graphite powder. The graphite particles are bound by a thermosetting resin. During

the production of the separator body, the base mixture of the graphite and the resin

is formed in a two-stage pressing process into final shape. Such a mixture is easily

brought into final shape. The separator achieves its necessary rigidity and density

when the resin cures at a slightly elevated temperature in the range from 150°-170°C

during the second pressing stage. Reference is had to the disclosure in Yoshida at

col. 1, lines 44-50; col. 4, lines 49-51; and col 6, line 51, to col. 7, line 4.

Response submitted May 10, 2007

Yoshida's process is entirely different from the claimed process, where powder

mixtures are processed that are very difficult to press. The powders are recited in the

claims as being metals and/or ceramics. Claim 13 includes Cr in the powder.

Subsequent to the second pressing stage, the near-final-shape pressed body must

be subjected to sintering so as to assure the structural rigidity and sufficient density

of the article.

We will not, however, rely solely on the foregoing argument. Instead, we respectfully

point to the prior art reference Quadakkers, which describes the pertinent state of the

art. Where complex forms such as separators (interconnectors, bipolar plates) for

fuel cells are to be formed of chromium-containing alloys, there are two types of

processing available in the art, to wit:

The typical form of the plate which can be several millimeters thick with gas channels can be manufactured by conventional machining of sheet material or it can be fabricated by a process yielding a shape close to

the final form (near-net-shape process) by powder metallurgical

methods (MIM, WPP).

Quadakkers, et al., col. 3, lines 34-38. That is, where powder is used, only MIM

(metal injection molding) or WPP (wet powder pouring) is available to the person of

ordinary skill in the art. The primary disadvantage of these processes is their

requirement for a high content of binder. Shrinkage and/or pore formation during the

subsequent sintering process cannot be avoided.

In other words, the prior art does not teach molding to near final shape in a two-

stage press where metal and/or ceramic powders are used as the starting materials.

Response submitted May 10, 2007

Instead, the only powder metallurgical processes that are considered are MIM and

WPP.

The secondary reference Krupa does not properly modify Yoshida to reach the

claimed invention either. There, separators are also produced from graphite powder

mixtures with a thermosetting resin, and the body is compressed to assure the

required gas-tightness. See, for example, col. 1, lines 32-35, and col. 4, lines 26-32.

Again, a person of ordinary skill in art who attempts to produce an intricately shaped

body with powder-based metallic (and/or ceramic) materials and press the same into

near-final shape is unambiguously directed to MIM or WPP as the only readily

suitable processes.

The process according to the invention is based on the concept of enabling the

formation of intricate shapes in a power-pressing process by providing for the detail

two-stage pressing with carefully controlled press shaping. The claimed formation of

the angles and the dimensions of the elevations between the two pressing stages

and the final shaping is not shown or suggested in the prior art. The processes using

graphite powders and thermosetting resin, and the fact that such easily molded

materials may be formed to the shapes and dimensions as claimed, cannot render

the claimed invention unpatentable. Applicants are the first to disclose and claim an

unobvious invention where metallic and/or ceramic powders are pressed and

sintered into final shape, as claimed.

SB-514 - Application No. 10/533,560 Response to Office action March 7, 2007

Response submitted May 10, 2007

In summary, none of the references, whether taken alone or in any combination,

either show or suggest the features of claim 8. Claim 8 is, therefore, patentable over

the art and since all of the dependent claims are ultimately dependent on claim 8,

they are patentable as well.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of claims 8-15 are solicited.

/Werner H. Stemer/ Werner H. Stemer (Reg. No. 34,956)

WHS/lq

May 10, 2007

Lerner Greenberg Stemer LLP P.O. Box 2480 Hollywood, Florida 33022-2480

Tel.: 954-925-1100

Fax: 954-925-1101